

I repeat, if my friends on the other side of the aisle do not like the legislation, then they should vote against it. We are not trying to take up the valuable time of this Senate. But what we are doing is saying we want to move forward on this legislation, and we are not going to budge from this Congress until this legislation is passed.

We have a record that substantiates the statement I just made. No. 1, we moved Friday, we moved today, to proceed on this legislation. We have been denied that opportunity.

No. 2, we have letters signed by more than 40 Senators and we have more than 150 House Members who have signed a letter to the President, saying if he vetoes this legislation, we will certainly support his veto. Your veto will be based on the fact that the Latino and Immigrant Fairness Act of 2000 is not included in something coming out of this Congress.

What we are looking to, and the vehicle that should go forward, is the Commerce-Justice-State appropriations bill. But if there is some other area, we will also support the President's veto on that.

This legislation, among other things, seeks to provide permanent and legally defined groups of immigrants who are already here, already working, already contributing to the tax base and social fabric of our country, with a way to gain U.S. citizenship. They are people who are already here. They are working or have been working. The only reason they are now not working is because the Immigration and Naturalization Service slipped into the 1996 bill that these people, like the people in Nevada, are not entitled to due process. Some of my constituents in Nevada have not had the ability to have their work permits renewed. They have been rejected. Some have been taken away from them. People lost their homes, their cars, their jobs. I am sorry to say in some instances it has even caused divorce. It has caused domestic abuse, domestic violence. People who have been gainfully employed suddenly find themselves without a job. . . their families torn apart.

We want a vote, an up-or-down vote. As I have said, we don't want a lot of time. We will take 10 minutes, 5 minutes for the majority, 5 minutes by the minority: Vote on this bill. We will take it as it is written.

I think anything less than an up-or-down vote on this shows the majority, who in effect run this Senate, are unwilling to take what we do not believe is a hard vote. From their perspective, I guess it is a hard vote because they do not want to be on record voting against basic fairness for people who are here. Although we are willing to vote to bring 200,000 people to this country—we support that, too—we think in addition to the people who are coming here for high-tech jobs, the

people who have skilled and semi-skilled jobs, who are badly needed in this country, also need the basic fairness that this legislation provides.

RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will now stand in recess until the hour of 2:15 p.m.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 12:36 p.m., recessed until 2:15 p.m.; whereupon, the Senate reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer [Mr. INHOFE].

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Georgia.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

Mr. SESSIONS. I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

The assistant legislative clerk continued the call of the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair, in his capacity as a Senator from Oklahoma, objects.

Objection is heard.

The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk continued the call of the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard. The clerk will continue the call of the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk continued the call of the roll.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, on behalf of the leader, I ask unanimous consent that Senator MCCAIN, Senator BREAUX, and Senator MURRAY be recognized to speak on the issue of pipeline safety for up to 15 minutes, followed by Senator REID for 9 minutes; Senator MURKOWSKI to be recognized to speak for 20 minutes on energy policy; Senator DURBIN for up to an hour on postcloture debate; and that all time be charged to the postcloture debate. Further, I ask unanimous consent that no action occur during the above described time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. REID. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, I say to my friend from Alaska we would like to proceed on the postcloture debate as rapidly as possible. We have a number of people who want to speak on that. I hope that this afternoon we can move along.

I also ask that the unanimous consent agreement be changed to allow Senator WELLSTONE 5 minutes for purposes of introduction of a bill. He would follow Senator MURKOWSKI.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. REID. The ranking member and the chairman of the committee also asked that following Senator WELLSTONE, Senator HATCH be recognized for 30 minutes and Senator KENNEDY be recognized for 30 minutes.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. I have another request that Senator THOMAS be recognized for 5 minutes in the order.

Mr. REID. Democrat, Republican; Democrat, Republican.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. That is fair enough to me.

Mr. REID. I ask, further, that Senator BIDEN be allowed 15 minutes. We would also say, if there is a Republican who wishes to stand in before that, or after Senator BIDEN, they be given 15 minutes.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. I wonder if I could ask the Presiding Officer—so we will have the clarification of the words—to indicate what the unanimous consent request is.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair would repeat the original unanimous consent request and add to that, Senator WELLSTONE for 5 minutes, Senator HATCH for 30 minutes, Senator KENNEDY for 30 minutes, Senator THOMAS for 5 minutes, Senator BIDEN for 15 minutes, and a Republican to be named later for 15 minutes, alternating from side to side.

That is the amended unanimous consent request.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. I believe Senator THOMAS wanted to follow Senator WELLSTONE with 5 minutes.

Mr. REID. That is fine.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Louisiana.

Mr. BREAUX. Mr. President, thank you.

PIPELINE SAFETY LEGISLATION

Mr. BREAUX. Mr. President, I want to take a few minutes to speak to my colleagues in this body as well as to our colleagues in the other body regarding the subject on which the Senate has spent a considerable amount of time; that is, pipeline safety, legislation which passed the Senate by a unanimous vote, with Republicans and Democrats supporting a unanimous consent request to pass this legislation without any dissent and without any arguments against it whatsoever.